



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/656,841	09/05/2003	David K. Platner	60,130-1721/03MRA0169	8384
	26096	7590 10/12/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD		TORRES, MELANIE	
	SUITE 350	III EE ROND		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009		M, MI 48009		3683	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· x · * · ·	J				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/656,841	PLATNER ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
. ,	Melanie Torres	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires any (1) the mailing data of this Adv.	-	o final rejection, whicheve	orio lator. In no		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jootoa olamiio.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			•		
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1440) Paper	No(s)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are more specific than the claim language. The terms "rearward" and movement "parallel to the vehicle mainframe" are extremely broad and can be broadly interpreted by the examiner. Therefore, the rejections are maintained.

Melanie Torres Primary Examiner 10-6-05